

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

AMY SINCAVAGE and MICHAEL  
WISNIEWSKI,

Plaintiffs,

v.

SCHOTT NORTH AMERICA, SCHOTT  
A.G., and ERIC URRUTI,

Defendants.

NO. 3:18-CV-01231

(JUDGE CAPUTO)

**ORDER**

**NOW**, this 5<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2018, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that:

- (1) The Motion to Dismiss filed by Defendant Schott North America (Doc. 23) is **GRANTED in part and DENIED in part**.
  - (A) Counts Three, Four, and Five of the Amended Complaint are **DISMISSED without prejudice** as to Schott North America.
  - (B) The Motion is **DENIED** in all other respects.
- (2) The Motion to Dismiss filed by Defendant Eric Urruti (Doc. 24) is **DENIED**.
- (3) The Motion to Sever filed by Defendant Schott North America (Doc. 29) is **DENIED**.
- (4) The Motion to Strike Returns of Service, filed by Schott A.G. (Doc. 31), construed as a motion to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction and insufficient service of process brought under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b), is **DENIED**.
- (5) The Motion for Alternative Service filed by Plaintiffs Amy Sincavage and Michael Wisniewski (Doc. 34) is **DENIED**.
- (6) The Motion to Strike affidavits and returns of service, filed by Schott A.G. (Doc. 49), is **DENIED** as moot.

/s/ A. Richard Caputo  
A. Richard Caputo  
United States District Judge